Exam 2 Review

Chapters 4, 5, 6, 7

Gauss-Markov Theorem

Measures of fit

Omitted variable bias

Joint tests

Practice

- Set up appropriate equations to estimate relationship between two variables using OLS
- ► Interpret intercept and slope coefficients for simple linear regression
- Define and calculate residuals
- ► Calculate measures of fit, including R², ESS, TSS, SSR, and SER
- Understand underlying assumptions for estimation of β_0 and β_1

- Create hypotheses about slope coefficients and test them using $\hat{\beta}_1$ and its standard error.
- Correctly interpret the results of hypothesis tests
- Calculate confidence intervals for β_1
- ► Take binary regressors in stride (and interpret them correctly)
- Understand the implications of heteroskedasticity and correct your standard errors
- Know and apply the Gauss-Markov theorem to understand the circumstances under which OLS is BLUE.

- Just go to town on some multiple linear regression implementing and interpreting
- Deepen our understanding of omitted variable bias
- Calculate and interpret a new measure of fit, the adjusted R^2
- Update our knowledge of least square assumption and the sampling distribution of the OLS estimator in the case of multiple independent variables

- Construct and interpret tests of joint hypotheses
- Construct and test hypothesis test involving one restriction and multiple coefficients

- CH4/5/6 Know and apply the Gauss-Markov theorem to understand the circumstances under which OLS is BLUE.
- ► CH4/6 Calculate measures of fit, including R², ESS, TSS, SSR, and SER
- ► CH6 Deepen our understanding of omitted variable bias
- ► CH7 Complicated hypothesis testing
- CH6 Just go to town on some multiple linear regression implementing and interpreting

Gauss-Markov Theorem

Ordinary Least Squares Assumptions

We worked in three stages: (Chapter 4): Consider our three LS assumptions (needed for unbiasedness):

- 1. E(u|X = x) = 0 (zero conditional mean)
- 2. $(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, n \text{ are i.i.d.}$
- 3. Large outliers are rare

(Chapter 5) Plus, one more!

4. *u* is homoskedastic

(Chapter 6) JK, one more (*but not part of GM theorem*) 5. No multicollinearity Under these **four** extended LS assumptions, $\hat{\beta}_1$ has the smallest variance among *all linear estimators* (estimators that are linear functions of $Y_1, ..., Y_n$).

This is the Gauss-Markov theorem

Under the GM theory, OLS estimators are **BLUE**:



► Linear

- Unbiased
- Estimators

- ► Violation of zero conditional mean: omitted variable bias
- $(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, n$ are i.i.d.: panel data, time-series data
- u is homoskedastic, Var(u|X_i = x) = σ (constant): if variance depends on X (happens a lot!)

- ► No homoskedasticity: $\hat{\beta}$ remains unbiased. OLS no longer BLUE. If you do not adjust standard errors, they will be wrong
- ▶ Violation of other assumptions: $\hat{\beta}$ biased

Measures of fit

We define the <u>total</u> sum of squares, <u>estimated</u> sum of squares, and <u>residual</u> sum of squares:

$$y_i = \hat{y}_i + \hat{u}_i$$

$$TSS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$
$$ESS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$$
$$SSR = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_i^2$$

Assuming TSS > 0, we can define the fraction of the total variation in y_i that is explained by x_i (or the OLS regression line) as

$$R^2 = \frac{ESS}{TSS} = 1 - \frac{SSR}{TSS}$$

► Called the **R-squared** of the regression.

$$0 \leq R^2 \leq 1$$

Do not fixate on R². Having a "high" R-squared is neither necessary nor sufficient to infer causality.

We can estimate the variance of the regression

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = s_e^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2}{n-2} = \frac{SSR}{n-k-1}$$

- ► Divide by n 2 in simple linear regression because we've used up two d.f: one on $\hat{\beta}_0$ and one on $\hat{\beta}_1$.
- We call $s_e = \sqrt{s_e^2}$ the standard error of the regression (SER)

Omitted variable bias

Population model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,i} + \beta_2 x_{2,i} + u_i$$

Estimated model

$$y_i = \widetilde{\beta_0} + \widetilde{\beta_1} x_{1,i} + + u_i$$

Three cases:

1. $cov(y, x_2) = 0$ 2. $cov(y, x_2) \neq 0$ and $cov(x_1, x_2) = 0$

3. $cov(y, x_2) \neq 0$ and $cov(x_1, x_2) = 0$

- With one omitted variable, we can sign the bias if we know the direction of β_2 and δ_1
- Conditional on x_1 and x_2 , we can compute $E[\widetilde{\beta}_1]$

$$E[\widetilde{\beta}_1] = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \widetilde{\delta}_1 \tag{1}$$

• Note that the sign of $\tilde{\delta}_1$ is the same as the sign of $Cov(x_{i1}, x_{i2})$.

	$corr(x_1, x_2) > 0$	$corr(x_1, x_2) < 0$
$\beta_2 > 0$	Positive bias	Negative bias
$\beta_2 < 0$	Negative bias	Positive bias

Joint tests

- 1. Hypothesis tests with one restriction, one coefficient
 - Example: $H_0: \beta_j = \beta_{j,0}$ vs. $H_a: \beta_j \neq \beta_{j,0}$
- 2. Hypothesis tests with one restriction, multiple coefficients
 - General: $H_0: \beta_j = \beta_m$
 - Example: $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$
- 3. Hypothesis tests involving a multiple tests at once (joint hypothesis tests)
 - ► General: H_0 : $\beta_j = \beta_{j,0}, \beta_m = \beta_{m,0}, ...$
 - Example: $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$
 - Special case test of *all* regressors

Practice

Consider the following population model:

 $WorkHrs_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 age_i + \beta_2 educ_i + \beta_3 married_i + u_i$

where *WorkHrs*_i is the usual weekly work hours, *age*_i is a person's age, *educ*_i is the number of years of education completed, and *married*_i is a binary variable equal to 1 if the person is married, and 0 otherwise.

Using OLS, you estimate the following equation:

 $WorkHrs_i = 33.23 + 0.11age_i + 0.11educ_i + 3.58married_i$

What is the interpretation on the coefficient on age_i , $\hat{\beta}_1$?

Using OLS, you estimate the following equation:

 $WorkHrs_i = 33.23 + 0.11age_i + 0.11educ_i + 3.58married_i$

Consider Boris. Boris is 56 years old, has completed 16 years of education, and is married. He works 35 hours per week. What is his residual?

Consider the following population model:

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + u$$

Suppose that $E[u|x_1, x_2, x_3] = 0$ and $Var(u|x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sigma^2 \sqrt{x_2}$

- 1. Is the OLS estimate of β_2 likely to be BLUE?
- 2. List each assumption that needs to hold for $\hat{\beta}_2$ to be BLUE. For each one, explain whether it is likely to be violated or note

Gauss-Markov Theorem

Measures of fit

Omitted variable bias

Joint tests

Practice